UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/575,968	04/14/2006	Marco Poppi	1034170-000031	5120
21839 7590 03/18/2008 BUCHANAN, INGERSOLL & ROONEY PC POST OFFICE BOX 1404			EXAMINER	
			WEEKS, GLORIA R	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3721	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/18/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ADIPFDD@bipc.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Interview Summary	10/575,968	POPPI, MARCO			
microlew Gummary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	GLORIA R. WEEKS	3721			
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):					
(1) <u>GLORIA R. WEEKS</u> .	(3)				
(2) <u>Matthew Schneider</u> .	(4)				
Date of Interview: <u>05 February 2008</u> .					
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☑ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2)☐ applicant's representative]					
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:					
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1,11,15 and 19</u> .					
Identification of prior art discussed: <i>Faskhoody et al.</i> .					
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) № N/A.					
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Applicant's representative</u> , <u>Mr. Schneider</u> , <u>presented proposed amendments to the pending independent claims (1, 11, 15, 19) for the purpose of articulating the cam structure of Applicant's invention. <u>While the amendment(s) presented new issues</u>, <u>Examiner agreed that the proposed amendments discussed would overcome the art of record. Nonetheless an updated search would have to be completed to accommodate the new issues presented.</u></u>					
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)					
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.					
	/Gloria R. Weeks/ Examiner, Art Unit 3721				
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an	Examiner's signature, if requi	red			